

# **Student Association Senate**

## Order of Business for October 28, 2008

## I. Call to Order (10 min)

- a. Roll Call
- b. Pledge of Allegiance

## II. Approval of Minutes

(15 min) Approved for the past two

weeks.

III.Reports of Officers (15 min)

- c. Vice President
  - i. Communication with Constituency- Had several students contact me about wanting to hear from their senators. Create any forum you wish to communicate with your constituency. Email the deans, talk to your RA or RC's come talk to me if you need help finding a way. The current practice and precedent, if failed in committee it just goes away, but in the standing rules it says you can make recommendations about legislation that has failed. No longer allow a piece of legislation to be failed in committee. Can make a motion to call to question instead. Can table a piece of legislation indefinitely if necessary.
    - (1) Grunin: If choose not to vote, is that the same thing as not sending it to the senate body?
    - (2) Feel free to meet outside of senate to
  - ii. Failing Legislation in Committee

#### d. President

- i. Thanks for all of you who came out for Service Day. After the morning, it ended really well. Thanks to all of you who participated and came out.
- ii. Challenge you guys to make resolutions and bills that represent the students on this campus. Continue the good work. Get feedback from constituency.

## e. Secretary

i. I will be recording the meeting from this point forward. Enjoy the Halloween candy.

#### f. Treasurer

Senate Contingency Fund: \$37,540.75
Cabinet Co-Sponsorship: \$19,160.42- Approved Angolan Student Association for co-sponsorship today. Will be Nov. 11<sup>th</sup>. Doing a good job keep it up.

#### g. Chief of Staff

- i. Co-Sponsorship: Angolan Student Association, \$210- passed tonight.
- ii. Air Band- This Sunday during Tulsa Time tonight in the great hall. Looking for more people to get involved. Good chance you can win some money.
- iii. Arkansas Trip- 65 currently signed up. Contacting everyone this week.

## IV.Reports of Advisors (10 min)

- h. Dr. Dennis Hudson- No less than 6 students ask me how to get money from SA. 2 students wanted to make improvements on campus, terrific opportunity for a meet your senator kind of event. There are funds available. Thanks.
- i. Steve Denton- no report.

## V. Committee Reports (15 min)

- i. GOC
  - i. Heard appointee Adamson. Didn't talk about R7. Talked about it two weeks ago.
  - ii. R7 Student Support Award Hylton

#### k. FAC

- i. Meet last week. Approved appeals for Newman and Student Outreach. Also CT was tabled for FA B41.
- ii. B27 NABA CT Brown
- iii. B33 Geosciences CT Manuel
- iv. B35 Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers CT Nelson
- v. B39 TU Debate CT Bedford
- vi. B40 TU Ultimate CT Grunin
- vii. B41 AIChE CT Bedford

#### l. SIC

- i. Continuing research on a lot of bills. Meeting Thursday at 6:00pm in the conference room. Expect progress.
- ii. R3 Direct Deposit for Check Reimbursements Grunin
- iii. R4 Apartment Lockouts Grunin
- iv. R8 Swings are Fun Manuel
- v. R9 Commuter Database Hill
- vi. R10 Textbook Reserve Program Hill
- vii. R12 ACAC D. Hill

#### m. SAB

 Discussed changes to our guidelines. Discussed Astronomy Club constitution. Currently working on Tracking down orgs without advisors. If you are meeting with an org rep, give them sufficient time to accommodate into their schedule.

#### VI.Old Business (80 min)

## n. Senate Appointments

- Committee: Adamson spoke with us today. Intelligent and will bring a great perspective to this body. She will appropriate to fill a seat here. Do recommend her.
  - (1) Adamson:
  - (2) Do you have experience with Student government? IN high school participated. Interested in joining senate? Get back to you.
  - (3) Brown: Any specific idea for legislation? Rep: Not yet.
  - (4) Hylton: If you feel against the body, will you have a problem standing up and saying why you disagree? Rep: No. Hylton: if body voted against you would you be able to support the decision of the body? Rep: yes.
  - (5) Schroeder: What is the group that has been silent? Committee: She represents orgs that have been too small or diffuse to be represented in this body. Schroeder: What orgs? Committee; NPHC.
  - (6) Grunin: Comment on decision to appoint this senator? Redirect to the chair. Boese: Maddox came up and said info about NPHC groups being silent on campus.

#### Discussion:

Harlan: I brought this to Maddox in the first place. Lot of problems with NPHC last year, thought it would be good to have this voice. This is a great way for these orgs to get involved. Want their voice to be heard.

Brown: Told student org after student org to come participate in this body. Want to hear their opinions, good to see them responding.

Hylton: Part of the reason has at large seats is for this reason. Want to reach undetached groups. This is a perfect example of these seats to be used to their potential.

Hill: Agree with recommending this appointment. She is enthusiastic about this.

Vote on approval of appointment. Does pass.

Swearing in of McNamara and Adamson.

- ii. Rosie Lynch—at Large Spring Seat
- iii. Danielle Adamson—at Large Spring Seat

#### o. B27 NABA CT - Brown

- i. Committee: NABA attended conference. 12 members attend. \$2,196 eligibility. Approved for funding of \$1,000.
- ii. Rep: Good for networking in meeting businesses and business leaders. Great opportunity to network with other NABA students.
- iii. Questions: Brown: Transportation costs? Rep: Stated in the budget. \$300.
- iv. Discussion: Brown: Already attended conference when met with them. They were flexible with working around the allocations process. They have been very cooperative.

v. Does pass for \$1,000.

## p. B35 Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers CT – Nelson

- i. Committee: New org on campus. They are the fastest growing chapter internationally. Eligible for 2,888. Approved for full \$1,000.
- ii. Rep: New org on campus. Founded this semester. We are trying to attend our first conference in Phoenix. National Conference will have leadership workshops. This will help not only TU but the students attending too. Fastest growing chapter will be able to learn a lot. Struggle a lot just to get allocations started. Very excited about this conference though.
- iii. Questions: Brown: The competition, explain? Rep: Learn about competition when you get there. 3 members will participate. Gibe you a real life project to work on. Compete with whatever they give you. Will find out when you get there.
- iv. Discussion:

Brown: Brought advisor to meeting. Very passionate. Done amazing things on campus and with high school students. Do so much even without much through allocations. Very excited to support hem

Swan: Echo brown. Very put together. They have members attending at 10, currently have 8 signed up for the conference, but won't effect the total amount funded.

Vote: FA B35 for \$1,000. Does pass.

## q. B40 TU Ultimate CT - Grunin

- i. Committee: If there was a frugal award given, we would give it to them. They are frugal. Pretty good ultimate Frisbees team. Total amount \$250.
- ii. Rep: Took 16 people to OU to compete. 3 and 3 for the weekend. Beat Missouri State. Played Colorado and Texas State. Games all day Saturday and Sunday, Camped. 4<sup>th</sup> year we attended this conference. Look forward to competing there again.
- iii. Questions: Law: How do next year? Will win next year? Patten: How lose to Colorado? Rep: Best in the nation! Peterson: Beau still on their team? Rep: not sure. Schroeder: Beat them as bad in football as ultimate? Rep: Worse.
- iv. Discussion: Colorado does have a great team.
- v. Patten: Colorado is awesome.

Vote on FA B40 for \$250. Does pass.

#### r. B41 AIChE CT – Bedford- Tabled in committee.

Emergency Legislation regarding appeals.

International Student Ministries: Vote to regard as emergency legislation. Does pass.

FA B42.

Committee: Brown: FAC heard form this group last night. On some documentation been called Outreach. Corrected as typo's. Doing a camping excursion. Originally not funded because they have to pay a fee per bed for those choosing to stay and this was considered an admission fee. This was discussed last night. Best interest of the org to bring it before full senate. Review FAC guidelines.

Rep: Good history on campus. Our mission is to reach out to international students and help them. Camp and retreat.

Have gotten some help with funding, but not enough to fully fund it. Last year there were 70 students. Everyone enjoyed it. If we could help [people out with funding, that would be great. Essentially looking to subsidize costs of events. Want to have this event for the students.

Questions: Patten: Talk briefly about people helping out? It is mandated to help out? Rep: Essentially, we work with people to make sure everyone can come no matter the funding level. But is costing quite a bit of money.

Gilbert: How many stayed the night last year? Rep: Close to 60.

Jessup: What is the difference between admission and reigistration fee? Brown: Registration fees are just dealt with in CT. Admission is required to be admitted.

Hylton: Am I correct they originally are not funded because those who stay the night are not charged? Brown: Combo. Spending the night. Some people chose not to spend the night, not crucial part of event. Not entirely sure it was an admission fee.

Bedford: Are you aware of percentage how many attendees paid last year? Rep: Encourage people to pay if they could. It was a good percentage. Worked with maybe 5 people on this. Bedford: did you receive SA funding last year? Not sure.

Hill: When you do have to meet people halfway, how much can you help them out? Rep: On individual basis. Come talk to us if you need help. Not a big barrier for people let them determine it.

Manuel: Are you able to put on event without SA? Rep: I guess we could. IT would be difficult. Event last for a couple of days. People could commute. If people don't spend the night they normally don't come back.

McNamara: How many people don't spend the night? Rep: just half an hour from campus. People can come and go.

Hylton: Would you say that people don't need to spend the night? Rep: Theoretically they could. Are we just paying for the bed? Have to pay per head at the camp. Left late and came back early. Something that they would miss out on good portion of events though.

Hill: Any efforts to try to get people to spend the night? Rep: Want people to come for the whole weekend. Trying to get the American style camping experience. Encouraging this. Work with people too.

Swart: What is money used for? Just food? Rep: Will be used for food. Put towards whatever the most pressing need is. Rental is part of our operating cost. Essentially go towards operating costs.

Wilson: Where is the number coming from? Brown: Look up in database if you want to. Event is called October camp and retreat. Currently have 45 confirmed. Have many attendees last minute though. For food, found eligible for \$315 plus \$50 entertainment. \$50 for decorations and dinnerware. Eligibility for \$415. Procedural cut for \$237.62. If approved that would the amount.

Grunin: Motion to call to previous question. Patten: Second. Division. Does fail.

Questions: No further questions.

#### Discussion:

Swan: Shouldn't skip discussion. His is not allocated for originally because listed as not open. This was a technical error. Obviously open to everyone. Need to look at this because of FAC guidelines, admission fees. Proceeds must go to charity. Think about what stance to take on this. In one sense does this every day for allocations packets, but in another sense don't? Everyone needs to realize this. In favor of giving them this money.

Dalvin Hill: Population approx. 80% of this group is international. Open to all students though.

Brown: Org. honest to a fault. Thought org would appeal to their members, clicked closed, but it is actually open to all. Never meant it to be closed to other students. Came up in allocations review. Remaining issue is the admissions fee. Started advertising and will be doing more.

Hylton: Skipping discussion is how we make bad decisions. Don't be apathetic about what we do here. Senator Brown hit on the right point. Not everyone charged for this event. Can still come. The fee goes to sleeping which is supplemental to the vent. Plausible to go and not spend the night and not miss anything. Paying to sleep isn't really relevant.

Patten: I think we should count sleep as a luxury we can take. Ruled in favor of student group last time. Need to do that this time too.

Schroeder: Several interesting points brought up. Probability of participation goes up if everyone stays there. Preserve the quality of activities. Should give them their money.

Gilbert: Many members don't have cars. Need to fund for them to be there. Sleeping is necessary to their event.

Hylton: Agree with Patten. Should side with Student org. This is different that the optional fee we discussed last time. Sleep is important and necessary. You can sleep elsewhere in this case. Not up to us to say we shouldn't fund them in this case. Fund it.

Does pass.

No Rep from Newman Center.

## s. R7 Student Support Award – Hylton

- i. Committee: Change to once a semester. Yeah. Hylton.
- ii. Senator Hylton: Changed point 1 to semester. The intent of the bill is that there is much done to support SA and the Student Body. Saw it last year in the physical plant. Drafted a letter commending them for that. Perhaps make this a regular award given out by SA. Believes that students need to be encouraged by good behavior. B. Schroeder. Process for nominating and deciding who wins the nomination? Hylton: The nomination can be submitted via a form and anyone can submit one. Sample attached to bill. Exact details are not created and student senate should decide. Student body should decide the winner. Once passes, Logistics would be decided once passed. Award would be a formal letter to said recipients, perhaps a plaque if proper use of budget. Sort out the logistics later.
- iii. Bedford: Comment on how this award is different than Medicine Wheel award? Rep: This would be on behalf of SA and the Student Body and not the University.
- iv. Grunin: Long term vision? Rep: Semester award for Student Association to recognize people. Get faculty staff and students excited about serving the student body. Grunin: Not in ultimate goal of the students already? Rep: When physical

- plant received letter, they were overjoyed and uplifited. Deserve to be recognized. With semester award gives more pride.
- v. Patten: Any regulation on number of times can receive award? Hylton: No. Not specified. Patten: Who would award today? Rep: not sure.
- vi. Law: Withdrawn.
- vii. Shane Hill: Important to give orgs recognition. Publicizing and offering this award, incentive to strive for it? Hylton: Yes it does just that. Pride in receiving this award would incite better performance. Hill: Would this tank the spirit of the service in the first place? Hylton: No. It is just highlighting service. It wouldn't taint it.
- viii. Brown: Believe that Senate as a full body would be the best group to judge apps? Will there be multiple submissions. If advertised and people knew about it wouldn't be too hard. Logistics would be handled by a committee. If unruly body of apps, then would have group screen them.
- ix. Swan: Withdrawn.
- x. Schroeder: Why can't we just honor people on a case by case basis when necessary? Hylton: Creating an avenue to report service will generate more if it being brought to our attention. This will create more potential for us to find these people doing these great things. Gives them more legitimacy than sending them a letter.

#### Discussion:

Grunin: Scholarship offered once a year for SA. Average 30 applications a year for \$1,000. Will struggle even harder for this. Should offer a commendation letter when necessary. Not a good idea.

B. Schroeder: Opposed to this idea. This is not executable. Premise of bill to award people who do great hob. This is not going to appeal to people who are already motivated to go above and beyond day to day. People are not motivated by a plaque. People would feel if they are thanked. That does not require an award. Not proper to make a value judgment on other peoples efforts. Not our role. We don't have the ability to fully understand everyone's contributions. Echo Grunin. Don't need formal award. Once give award, everyone who doesn't win will feel undervalued.

Law: Understand Grunin and Schroeder, but don't necessarily agree. Think winner will feel valued. Fosters good will between students and campus community. This legislation is a little bit vague. Need to know more.

10 minutes left in Old Business.

Kramer: This would be pretty easy to get the word out about this. Move to extend time for old business indefinitely.

Division: 18-10. Fail. With Maddox makes it 19-10.

Still in Discussion.

Peterson: Disagree with this Resolution in principle. Any award that had certain criteria was almost completely thrown together for someone we just found. Specify too much about random act of kindness acknowledgement becomes impossible to fill every time. People don't do extraordinary things consistently. Move to extend old business for 20 minutes.

Does pass.

Boese: Shouldn't be motivating. Making the finish line as a reason to run. Not how should we approach it. We make value judgments every senate. Giving them a plaque, no one will hate each for getting

or not getting it. Benefits are minute because minute prize. Have a mind to reward good behavior, but future senates may not. Many people on campus do things all the time.

Patten: Grunin only decent argument. We are all working pretty hard. I like getting things. We can find people doing good things. We have that ability. We are nominating people. They aren't applying. We are elected to make decisions like these. I would hope we all would vote in favor of this. People that get awards will feel good. Nanney: Agree with Patten. This will pick up.

Szabo: I love plaques and I love working towards them. Not devise. Orgs won't be angry.

Moore: Not job of SA to judge actions. But do this every year with allocations. Same thing but without the money. Why are we not in favor of this? We have discussed this, motion to call to question. Second.

Motion does pass. Move into a vote. Does pass 22-9-1.

Szabo: Motion to close the Senate session for the purpose of discussing

emergency legislation.

Grunin: Debate? Maddox: No.

Boese: On information. Will recording stay? Will be separate.

Will move into a closed session.

Grunin: Appeal the decision of the chair.

Maddox: Cannot hear this.

Grunin: According to Roberts Rules, appeal can be filed against a motion which

allows debate. Appealing outcome of vote to allow discussion.

Maddox: Cannot do this.

No entering closed session.

At 10:53pm will run out of Old Business.

**Emergency Legislation:** 

Szabo: Move Impeachment Investigation Committee Legislation.

Brown: Second.

Does pass.

FA R13 Impeachment Investigation committee.

All comments must remain germane to this legislation.

Authoring Senator: Come to the attention to TU Debate that there are questionable patterns of spending with this organization. Disproportionate reimbursements. Necessary to introduce to SA so that this is investigated by an objective committee. Want to see if these documents hold any kind of truth.

Swan: Who exactly will look into this? Maddox: Committee chairpersons as well as Dr. Hudson, Steve Denton, and at least one other exec officer.

Durbin: University is looking into this.

Maddox: Committee formed to determine any malfeasance, would report findings before Senate. This committee is simply formed to investigate.

Grunin: Chair an opinion on a statement of accusing them of the malfeasance? Need to be accused.

Maddox: No opinion.

Grunin: Does not following Standing rules. Maddox: It does.

Stangel: How does this have to do with Senate if this is a debate problem? Szabo: They receive funds from SA. This is their money.

Kramer: Move to extend time for Old Business for another 20 minutes. Second. Does pass.

Durbin: Szabo would you agree with me in that there is an investigative procedure to be followed. Should we see we need to investgate this we should move for that to be done.

Hylton: Does the 3<sup>rd</sup> clause represent a charge? Szabo: Yes.

Durbin: A malfeasance a charge? Yes.

Shane Hill: Not sure this needs to be brought forth yet? Szabo: Needs to happen. Investigation needs to happen to make sure claims are just or unjust.

Grunin: Feel that 14 days is an adequate amount of time? Szabo: Yes, I don't think it will even take that. Schroeder: 14 days from now? Maddox: Yes.

Boese: Would you not say that it is logically that the more people the more voices the more rumors? Szabo: No I am referring it explicitly to the committee. It is the responsible thing to do. This is reasonably restrained.

Stangel: Does the TU debate team know all the facts, or is this just hearsay? Szabo: Group of several members that came across this information. Just recently occurred. Shane Hill: On information, how will the GOC rep be decided. GOC will meet via email to select a person to represent them.

Manuel: Is this the same as an audit to TU debate? Maddox: Not in regards to Debate.

Durbin: Motion to previous question. Division. 9-21 does fail.

Discussion: Durbin: We should not has this out now. Procedures in place on how this should be conducted. Will have ample time to do that. This is not the appropriate forum right now. Implore you to restrain yourselves if possible.

Schroeder: Whenever an accusation of wrongdoing, we can't suppress this. Integrity of the body should be preserved. Need to create this committee. Tu Debate makes up an important part of this body. Should carry out process as Durbin suggested.

Grunin: By closing off Senate, making a huge deal out of this. Right now we are in a bad position. Saying to constituents that we don't want them to know about this. University should finish investigation before we even bring this up. Putting a lot of people in a bad position. Making a mistake by even discussing this. Motion to postpone until University completes investigation. Second.

Any Debate?

Kramer: What University doing? Maddox: Admin looking into charges.

Shane Hill: If we let the University carry out its investigation, how does that effect the committee? Grunin: If charges false, resolution has no pertinence. If issue determined, greater consequences than impeachment.

Schroeder: To Durbin. What is the University doing? Faculty advisor is aware of all issues. Dean of Arts and Sciences is aware and had contacted Provost. Has started investigation.

**Ouestions:** 

Swan: Motion to extend old business indefinitely. Second. Does pass.

Go back to handle motion presented to motion to postpone legislation.

Manuel: Do you have a time frame for investigation? Grunin: Not involved. Should not spin wheels, should let them perform investigation.

Boese: Given that the University is investigating anyway, would we save ourselves time in the process? Grunin: Yes. This is being investigated on multiple levels. We are being prudent. It is not in our interests to conduct this investigation.

Brown: How will this Student Body react when they hear a senator is accused of this and Senate hasn't reacted? Grunin: Legislation will still be shown as introduced. This is not our responsibility to spearhead investigation.

Patten: University privy to more knowledge and less bias? Grunin: Guarantee you that. People innocent until guilty.

Schroeder: When report comes out, how will we be priovy to the results of this report? How will we know the results. Can we be certain we will be given that? Maddox: no. Will not be investigating same things as admin, will directly investigate SA.

Szabo: Why do you think that the University investigation excludes other investigations? Grunin: Given the involvement of this and levels of accusation, we would just be spinning wheels. We are wasting valuable resources.

Move to previous question. Second. Does pass.

Vote on Grunin's motion to postpone impeachment committee. 15-15.

Peterson: Motion to reconsider the vote. Second. Role call vote. 17 in favor 14 opposed. It does pass.

Postponing FA 13.

Schroeder: Move to move out of closed session. Second. Does pass.

Schroeder: Move to release the minutes of closed session. Second. Does fail. 5-20.

#### New Business (25 min)

FA R14 Campus Dining Services to SIC

FA B43 OIL CT to FAC

FA B44 Kazah CT to FAC

FA B45 SWE CT to FAC

FA B46 Newman Center Appeal to FAC

## VII.Discussion and Comments (40 min)

Maddox: I will begin to do role call votes if necessary. Must answer honestly. Please use honesty and integrity. Apologies for procedural mistakes.

Peterson: I love Senate a lot.

Shane Hill: Sorry for most recent objection to waive the reading. I would be curious to hear Schroeder's explanation of why to release minutes of closed session. I agree closed session needed to stay closed. Involved in TCC play this weekend.

Dunlap: Congrats SA about Service Day. I had a lot of fun.

Manuel: Good job on better hoodies this year. Saddened no jack o lantern.

Patten: Sorry for my unethical behavoir. That was really wrong of me. If inaccuracy in vote count, need to care about that.

Boese: If committee investigated they would find nothing of note. Prefer the body's time not be wasted. Good senate. Mirror Hill about releasing closed minutes. Applaud body in keeping minutes sealed.

Wilson: Emotions run high tonight. This is a passionate body. Everyone makes mistakes. Urge everyone to be careful to keep cool heads and cool tempers.

Hylton: I love emotional senate. Topics in closed session should not be discussed outside of closed session. Shouldn't rely on outside bodies to govern us. Reducing our own legitimacy as an organization. We should never limit discussion. Last year my fight was to get people to waive readings on bills, succeeding in kicking that habit. We are here for a reason. Need to focus on that. Not cutting discussion short. Don't know what will be brought up next. Really great discussion on my bill tonight. Glad it passed. Kudos to President Maddox. You did well. Should strive for accuracy in everything we do. Thursday 6pm SIC.

Moore: Even though you don't agree with the decision this body has made, you have to uphold it. Keep that in mind.

Jessup: Reinforce the idea that these were closed for a reason. Loose lips sink ships.

Szabo: Happy the student support bill passed. Agree with Hylton. Look forward to senate that gladly extends discussion. There may exist questions from Debate team, I happily invite questions and concerns about the legislation I wrote.

Jessup: Thanks for the candy! Thanks for patience in discussing matters. In need of Halloween costume. Try not to get sick.

Nanney: Wind blown a good costume.

Nelson: Sorry for the brackets. The intent of the bill was not to vilify members of our team. We felt it would be more shady that there were questions that weren't answered. This is not a forum for team bickering. Did quite well in Debate.

Bedford: Thanks for the candy. Congrats VP Maddox. Our football team is 8-0. Awesome. Have a good week.

Dalvin Hill: Grad Student Association had their luncheon today. Disappointed that more of SA was not present there. Next Luncheon is on the  $2^{nd}$  of December.

Brown: The trial is sometimes more damaging than outcome. Have committee in Senate that agrees to look into these matters. I'm glad people brought things to attention. This body made a responsible decision this evening. Working on Debate banner.

Swan: Sorry for all the "on informations". If anyone reads the 631. The article on allocations is a travesty. See y'all next week.

Schroeder: Didn't like R7. During Senate we discussed an emergency resolution that the body chose not to act on. Did it in closed session. Odd that we did that. We didn't act on that and those reasons for not

doing things were not made public. Should cover our own backsides by making those minutes public. Have a good Wednesday.

Haney: Congrats to new Senator.

Boese: No GOC next week. Press release on Debate.

McElyea: Great job.

Gannaway: For those of you not in Debate, same boat as you are. Utterly confused.

Durbin: To Mary Jessup and Meredith Nelson, congrats on your win in DC. This will not be swept under the rug. Szabo, you don't stand alone. Senate should consider this issue. This information does need to get out but in an organized clear and coherent fashion. Will be brought up again when the time is right. Was brought to the attention of the Student Senate because we want to make sure we have transparency. This will not be silenced.

Gilbert: Bed sheet with holes a great costume. Alcohol is a sugar. Enjoy yourself.

Denton: Starching all of my clothes and going at Iron Man. Resolution about Dining Service come see me.

Stubbs: The Arkansas game is next weekend. Go and support your team! Spoken to more than 2 students concerned about communication on service day. Agree with many Senator's comments. 631 aims to causes controversy.

Hudson: Congrats to Hylton on resolution. On moral courage- Szabo that was absolutely appropriate but also hard for you to do. Difficult to stand out and stand up. Hats off to you for that. No standing with any University policy or board. Going as a spreadsheet for Halloween.

Law: Spoiled last week by a 30 minute senate meeting. Hold these meetings very dear. Moore's words: Congrats to Senator Szabo for following your conscience. SAB meeting on Sunday at 4pm. Committee attendance is important. Send in excuses for those! This year I am a ceiling fan for Halloween. Banner making in FAC. Airband this Sunday. Let me know if you would like to participate. Please join!

Harlan: As President of this organization have never been this frustrated. The back lash of decisions made tonight none of you will ever see. Don't always see the results of the decisions you make. If

you believe your commitment to senate is only an hour, if you don't want to fully discuss all of this resign, if you aren't here because you want to be, you should resign. We are here to discuss and make the most of these bills and this legislation. Expected to voice your opinions. Find the students you represent. Go to your committee meetings. Determine why you are here. Disappointed in unethical behavior. Why was this a closed session? Here for the student body. Would like to commend Szabo for this resolution. Senator Swan, the allocation article has been addressed. Will have a follow up article.

Guilfoy: Very disappointed with this body. Looking at the minutes before and after closed session can determine what happened. Hiding issues is how scandals start. Going to create more problems.

Grunin: Everyone in here has made great comments. Proud and disappointed. Proud of Szabo. This way of handling issue is not the best way. Should not rush to introduce emergency legislation. Echo Harlan and Guilfoy.

Maddox: Go to committee meetings. This is a requirement! Apologize for Senator Nelson's legislation. GOC may need to meet for an appointment. In terms of allocations, there is a lot of talk generated from 631 article. You are a senator and have sworn the oath, represent that. Show good judgment there. My office is always open.

Adjourned at 12:18am.